

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/574,314	Applicant(s) HARDING, FIONA A.
Examiner MARIANNE DIBRINO		Art Unit 1644	

All Participants:

(1) MARIANNE DIBRINO.

(2) STEPHEN TODD.

Date of Interview: 11 February 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: --

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: ---

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative was contacted because the response filed 1/18/11 to the restriction requirement mailed 12/29/10 was not fully responsive., no species were elected consonant with paragraph 2. Applicant subsequently elects BMP-7 and SEQ ID NO: 1.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/DiBrino Marianne/
Examiner, Art Unit 1644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)